

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/592,963	BHATTACHARYA, RAGHU N.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M. Brunsman	1793	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) David M. Brunsman. (3) _____.

(2) PAul White. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed fixing typographical error of claim 3 by deleting "triethyl amine" therefrom and adding new claim 20 directed to triethyl amine

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David M Brunsman/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)